UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/767,087	01/29/2004	Harald Michi	10191/3439	4599
26646 KENVON & K	7590 12/12/2007		EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON LLP ONE BROADWAY			MANCHO, RONNIE M	
NEW YORK,	NY 10004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	3663	
	•	•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/767,087	MICHI ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ronnie Mancho	3663				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) Ronnie Mancho.	(3)					
(2) <u>Jong Lee</u> .	(4)	·				
Date of Interview: 10 December 2007.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>none</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>none</u>						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant's representative called to verify if the action dated 6/22/07 was a final.</u> <u>Agreement was reached that the office action is not a final since it was a first action after filing of an RCE. PTOL-326 inadvertently shows that the action is a final. However, applicant's representative was notified during the interview that the action is nonfinal. Agreement was reached as indicated above does not imply that the invention is allowable over the prior art.</u>						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
,	·					
	R	e Medo				

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required